

Implementation of the Pupil Premium for 2017/18

“If we can respond to the massive challenge to use the pupil premium funding to close the gap, we will have gone a long way to fulfil the purpose of education for the young people who need it most. We will have accepted the notion that no young person, by virtue of their birth, should necessarily achieve less than others.” (Sir John Dunford, National Pupil Premium Champion)

In 2011-12 the Government launched its Pupil Premium funding. This money is given to schools based on the number of pupils in the school who are eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) with the intention of raising the attainment of disadvantaged pupils and closing the gap between them and their peers.

In September 2017, Dunkirk Primary School was allocated £105,600, based on pupils who have previously claimed Free School Meals, for this academic year (2017/18).

Pupil premium children make up 24% of the pupils at Dunkirk, which is slightly below the national average.

Our analysis has found that individual and small group tuition focused on literacy has a significant impact on pupils' achievement. Regular attendance and parental involvement are also crucial to children's academic success.

The money helps to fund the following key initiatives which are aimed to support pupil premium children. For 2017-18, the school has 2 key objectives which it is targeting this year's Pupil Premium funding towards:

1. To raise attainment by targeting and intervening with under-achieving pupils
2. To raise attainment of the lowest attending Pupil Premium pupil by raising their attendance

School Information

1. Summary Information			
School	Dunkirk Primary School Nottingham		
Academic Year	2017-18	Total Pupil Premium budget	£105,600 (based on 80 pupils)
Total number of pupils (1/9/2017)	381	Number of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium (1/9/2017)	93 (24%)

2. Current attainment (2017)		
	All pupils Dunkirk Primary School	Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium at Dunkirk Primary School (9 pupils)
Percentage attaining the expected level in reading, writing and maths.	70%	44%
Progress score in reading	1.67	1.64
Progress score in writing	2.23	2.00
Progress score in mathematics	1.63	0.44

3. In-school barriers to future attainment for pupils eligible for PP funding	
A.	Pupils eligible for PP funding across KS2 are generally below the age-related expected level, and below their peers. Of the nine Pupil Premium pupils in the 2017 cohort, seven were registered as receiving SEN support for their learning. Progress is good and in-line with peers but is not accelerated enough to close the attainment gap (see Key Objective 1).
B.	The very high and unpredictable nature of the mobility of pupils in and out of Dunkirk Primary School (stability of 65.6%) means that it is difficult to plan for effective, on-going support. The arrival of pupils, many of whom speak little or no English, means that plans have to adapted to best meet the needs of all pupils.

4. External barriers to future attainment for pupils eligible for PP funding	
A.	Persistent absenteeism of a significant group of pupils eligible for PP funding (see Key Objective 2)
B.	High mobility – 26% of the pupils eligible for PP funding arrived at the school during the previous 2 years (September 1 st 2014 to June 2016)

Key Objective 1:

To raise attainment by targeting under-achieving pupils and further develop and refine the intervention strategies used to accelerate progress.

This objective will be met by the following means:

- Identification of pupils who made slow progress during the previous academic year.
- Identification of pupils who are attaining below their age-related expectation.
- Deployment of 2 Literacy intervention teachers to accelerate progress in reading in Years 5 and 6.
- Deployment of 3 Teaching Assistants to run targeted Year 3 and 4 Literacy groups, and the Literacy intervention teacher to aid and support the group.
- Deployment of Teaching Assistants to run dedicated Nurture groups.

Action 1: Identification of pupils who made slow progress during the previous academic year

Action 2: Identification of pupils who are attaining below their age-related expectation.

Rationale – In order to close the attainment gap, the school needs to identify underachievement and the reasons for it, at the earliest possible moment.

Date	Person responsible	Monitoring and evaluation	Cost	Success criteria
Autumn 1	Headteacher and Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management	Pupil progress meetings take place to review performance in 2016-17 and plan actions to accelerate learning in 2017-18.	Supply cover to release teaching staff £1,000	Intervention groups are created and recorded. They correspond to the attainment and progress pupil lists.
Autumn term	Headteacher and Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management	Assessment package is purchased and baselines undertaken showing cognitive ability and current attainment in English and maths.	£7,500	Assessments are undertaken and reports analysed to identify over and under-achieving pupils. Individual and group plans are put in place.
Termly	Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management	Progress reports are created tracking the progress of the identified pupils	None	Pupil progress meetings take place to discuss and plan for the performance of Pupil Premium children.

Action 3: Deployment of Literacy intervention teacher to accelerate progress in reading in Years 5 & 6.

Rationale - Sutton Trust research shows that small group tuition has a moderate impact for moderate costs.

'Schools have no more fundamental responsibility than teaching children to read.....of those children who fail to achieve Level 4 in reading at the end of primary school, only one in 10 went on to achieve five good G.C.S.E.s' (Nick Gibb – Minister of State for School Standards).

Date	Person responsible	Monitoring and evaluation	Cost	Success criteria
Sept 2017	Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management	Groups are identified and dedicated times are agreed for targeted teaching	£56,100	Year 5 and 6 Reading groups take place 4 times per week

Date	Person responsible	Monitoring and evaluation	Cost	Success criteria
Ongoing	Headteacher Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management	Termly assessment data Appraisal observations	-	Pupils make above expected progress and increase their standardised scores.

Action 4 - Deployment of Teaching Assistants to run targeted Year 3 and 4 Literacy group and the Literacy intervention teacher to aid and support the group.

Rationale – as action 3

Date	Person responsible	Monitoring and evaluation	Cost	Success criteria
Sept 2017	Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management Y3 and 4 team	Groups are identified and dedicated times are agreed for targeted teaching	£40,000	Two mixed groups of Y3 and 4 pupils take place 5 times per week
Ongoing	Literacy intervention teacher	Planning support is in place to ensure TA literacy groups are effective	£1,500	Support sessions take place on a weekly basis

Action 5: Deployment of Teaching Assistants to run dedicated Nurture groups

Rationale – Sutton Trust research shows that behaviour intervention and social and emotional learning both have a moderate impact for moderate costs.

‘When the nurture groups were working well they made a considerable difference to the behaviour and the social skills of the pupils who attended them. Through intensive, well structured teaching and support, pupils learnt to manage their own behaviour, to build positive relationships with adults and with other pupils and to develop strategies to help them cope with their emotions.’ Ofsted

Date	Person responsible	Monitoring and evaluation	Cost	Success criteria
Sept 2017	SENCo L4 TA	Nurture group pupils identified Nurture group takes place daily Baseline assessment takes place using P-levels and Boxhall profiles	£45,800	Baseline assessments entered into Bluehills Dedicated time for Nurture group is allocated
Ongoing	HeadTeacher SENCo	Monitoring quality of provision within Nurture group, ensuring that academic skills progress, as well as the social and behaviour ones, so they do not fall behind.	-	Boxhall profile records shows progress in the social and behavioural skills P-level record show academic progress...

Key Objective 2:

To raise attainment of the lowest attending Pupil Premium pupil by raising their attendance

This objective will be met by the following means:

- Identifying the lowest attending Pupil Premium pupils
- Planning personalised intervention plans to support each identified family in order to improve attendance.
- To monitor attendance on a half-termly basis

- **Action 1:** Identifying the lowest attending Pupil Premium pupils
- **Action 2:** Planning personalised intervention plans to support each identified family in order to improve attendance.
- **Action 3:** To monitor attendance on a half-termly basis

Rationale – *‘In general the higher the percentage of sessions missed across the key stage, the lower the likely level of attainment at the end of KS2. In particular, pupils with no absence are 1.6 times more likely to achieve level 4 or above, and 4.7 times more likely to achieve level 5 or above, than pupils that missed 15-20 per cent of all sessions.’* The link between absence and attainment at KS2. DfE February 2015

Date	Person responsible	Monitoring and evaluation	Cost	Success criteria
September 2017	Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for data management	Pupil Premium pupils with attendance below 95% in 2016-17 are identified and shared with key staff	-	Groups are identified Key staff are aware of these pupils
Autumn 2017	Family and learning mentors Head Teacher	Plans are devised to support the improvement of the identified pupils These may include targets, rewards, home-school communication books, regular meetings etc	£7,500	Personalised plans are in place Attendance rates increase by a minimum of 2% for all identified pupils and to above 90% for those beneath this figure in 2016-17
Ongoing	Deputy head teacher with responsibility for data management Family mentor	Termly analysis of attendance of the identified pupils. Where slow or no improvement to include analysis of non-attendance to identify any patterns or causes	-	Attendance is analysed Continued low attendance is brought to HT's attention

Total income	£105,600	Total planned spend	£159,400
---------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	-----------------